

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,495	,495 12/30/2003		Charles R. Roe	10347/20019	8734
34725	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER	
CHALKER		S, LLP	GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V		
2711 LBJ FRWY Suite 1036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	TX 7523	4	1614		
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/748,495	ROE, CHARLES R.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shirley V. Gembeh	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tote, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17. This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>17-57</u> is/are pending in the applicating the above claim(s) is/are withdromagnetic claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>17-57</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and content is a subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 17-57 are pending.

Claims 53-57 are new.

Claims 1-16 are cancelled.

The response filed **April 17, 2006** presents remarks and arguments to the office action mailed **December 16, 2005**. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims in the last office action has been considered.

Applicants' arguments, filed 4/17/06, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 17-52 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant argues (with regards to undue experimentation of the use of the broad term seven-carbon fatty acid) that "If any experimentation would be necessary such

Art Unit: 1614

experimentation would clearly not be undue, and that the specification provides more that support to enable the skilled artisan to make and use the present invention.

In response, the interpretation of the claim is to give it its broadest meaning, while the application or claims are enabled for a few of seven-carbon fatty acid such as those listed in the specification § 0074, clearly does not enable for every moiety on the seven-carbon fatty acid as claimed and will have an undue experimentation and a budden to the skill artisan to determine which of the seven-carbon fatty acid will be able to treat cardiac disorder such as cardiac muscle weakness for example. The state of the prior art is that it involves screening *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine which compounds exhibited the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. what compounds can treat which specific cardiac disease). It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, *the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statue*. Further, their mode of action is often unknown or very unpredictable and administration of the drugs can be accompanied by undesirable side effects.

Applicant's limited working example does not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to use the numerous possible substitution of the seven-carbon fatty acid chain as encompassed by the instant invention.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 17-19, 21, 23-49, 51 and 53-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

A lack of adequate written description issue arises if the knowledge and level of skill in the art would not permit one skilled in the art to immediately envisage the product claimed from the disclosed process. See, e.g., Fujikawa v. Wattanasin, 93 F.3d 1559, 1571, 39 USPQ2d 1895, 1905 (Fed. Cir. 1996) (a "laundry list" disclosure of every possible moiety does not constitute a written description of every species in a genus because it would not "reasonably lead" those skilled in the art to any particular species); In re Ruschig, 379 F.2d 990, 995, 154 USPQ 118, 123 (CCPA 1967).

An applicant may also show that an invention is complete by disclosure of sufficiently detailed, relevant identifying characteristics which provide evidence that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention, i.e., complete or partial structure, other physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics when coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or some combination of such characteristics.

The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a

Art Unit: 1614

combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. See Eli Lilly, 119 F.3d at 1568, 43 USPQ2d at 1406.

A "representative number of species" for the seven-carbon fatty acid chain means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus. The disclosure of only one species encompassed within a genus adequately describes a claim directed to that genus only if the disclosure "indicates that the patentee has invented species sufficient to constitute the gen[us]."

In other words, the Applicant has not described with sufficient clarity a seven-carbon fatty acid chain a medicament for providing relief to a patient with cardiac disorder such as cardiac muscle weakness or cardiac myopathy contemplated.

Also Applicant has not described with sufficient clarity a triglyceride comprising n-heptanoic acid. Clearly as indicated in claim 21 there are several substituents to this compound and not every substituent is intended or contemplated.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

SVG 9/12/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6